A Markov Regenerative Model of Software Rejuvenation Beyond the Enabling Restriction

Laura Carnevali¹ Marco Paolieri² Riccardo Reali¹ Leonardo Scommegna¹ Enrico Vicario¹

¹Dept. of Information Engineering, University of Florence ²Dept. of Computer Science University of Southern California

WoSAR'22 - October 2022

• this is about:

- Stochastic models of software aging and rejuvenation
- How class of the underlying stochastic process changes the expressivity
- What are the consequences of expressivity change
- Extension from MRgP under enabling restriction
- ... by creating models in the class of MRgP under bounded regeneration

Sofware Aging & Rejuvenation Systems

- Systems of interest : long running hardware/software systems
- Software Aging: gradual shift from a robust state to degraded one
- Rejuvenation: restoration to the robust state through a proactive maintenance
 - Advantage: prevent failures
 - Drawback: implies a cost (downtime increment)
- Rejuvenation Schedule: plan when to perform the rejuvenation:
 - Time-Triggered: periodic rejuvenation
 - Event-Based: relying on diagnostic tests

Software Aging & Rejuvenation Model

- Quantitative models analyse:
 - Effect of aging in the system
 - How rejuvenation impacts on the failure rate
 - Find the optimal rejuvenation **schedule** taking into account the **trade-off** between reliability and availability
- Differ in:
 - Available model specification approaches
 - Class of the underlying stochastic process



Continuous Time Markov Chain (CTMC)

- Expressivity:
 - Markov condition: always satisfied
 - Sojourn Time: only Exponential (memoryless) distributions
 - Transition Selection: time-independent random switch
 - Not good news for expressivity
- Specification:
 - Direct representation of the stochastic process
 - Higher level formalisms (SPN)





Model from: Huang, Kintala, Kolettis, Fulton "Software rejuvenation: Analysis, module and applications", FTCS 1995

Semi-Markov Processes (SMP)

- Expressivity:
 - Markov Condition: satisfied at the beginning of each sojourn time
 - Sojourn Time: GEN distributed durations
 - Transition Selection: based on a time-dependent random switch
 - Loses memory at each transition
- Specification:
 - Direct representation of the stochastic process



Model from: Dohi, Goseva-Popstojanova, Trivedi "Statistical non-parametric algorithms to estimate the optimal software rejuvenation schedule", PRDC 2000

Markov Regenerative Processes (MRgP)

- Expressivity:
 - Markov Condition: eventually satisfied w.p.1 at some point: the regeneration
 - Sojourn Time: GEN distributed durations
 - Transition Selection: based on the states and the time spent on them, since the last regeneration point
 - Represent activities overlapping their durations in epochs delimited by regenerations
- Specification:
 - Direct representation of the stochastic process
 - Usually expressed through higher level formalisms (SPN)



Modeling SAR Systems

- SAR systems literature deepens numerical approach with both CTMC and SMP
- Full-fledged MRgP models are hard to analyse numerically
- No general technique to built the process kernels
- MRgP under enabling restriction:
 - Consolidated numerical methods to analyse SAR
 - Basically, at most one GEN distribution fireable in any state



Consequences of the Enabling Restriction

• Enabling Restriction:

- The single GEN distribution is usually (necessarily) spent for the rejuvenation timer
- Distributions with finite coefficient of variation would result in substantial approximation
- Remaining durations can be modeled only as EXP

Limits:

- No concurrent GEN timers can be represented
- Durations can only fit the first moment from observational data

A Step Further, MRgPs Under Bounded Regeneration Restriction

- Bounded Regeneration:
 - Durations can be **DET** or exponomial distributions
 - Bounded supports distribution also allowed
 - Constraint: regeneration always reached within a bounded number of events
- Stochastic State Classes:
 - Numerical solution of model under bounded regeneration restriction
 - Implemented in the Sirio Library of the Oris Tool.¹



¹Paolieri, Biagi, Carnevali, Vicario, "The ORIS Tool: Quantitative Evaluation of Non-Markovian Systems" TSE 2021

Starting Point



The SAR Model Baseline



- Based from the seminal model of Garg et al.²
- Time-triggered Rejuvenation with DET timer
- Tweaked to make it more complex
- All the duration except timer are EXP

² Garg, Puliafito, Telek, Trivedi "Analysis of software rejuvenation using Markov regenerative stochastic Petri net", ISSRE 1995

The SAR Model Baseline - The System



- System starts in robust state ("Up") and eventually goes in error ("Err" place)
- From erroneous state system may fail ("Down" place)
- Failure detection time is not negligible ("Down" and "Detected" places)

The SAR Model Baseline - The Rejuvenation Mechanism



- Rejuvenation mechanism runs concurrently with the actual system
- When triggered ("Rej" place), it restores the system in the Up place
- Possible in both "Up" and "Err" places

First of Two Steps Ahead, Unleashing Distributions



Effects of the Model Expressiveness on the Analysis Results



Extension Beyond Enabling Restriction



- The same structure of concurrence
- GEN distributed Durations
- Stochastic parameters maintain the same expected values of the baseline

The Optimal Rejuvenation Period



- Aim: Finding the best rejuvenation period
- A clock time aware of the reliability-availability trade-off
- The optimal value of the Wait clock transition

Finding the Optimal Rejuvenation Period

- Steady-state metrics are the core of the software aging analysis
- Unavailability $\bar{a}(p)$: quantifies the occurrence of states in which the system can not provide the service
- Undetected failures $\bar{r}(p)$: detect a reliability quality of the system
- Optimal rejuvenation period p*: selected minimizing:

$$p^* = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_p \left[rac{ar{a}(p) + ar{r}(p)}{2}
ight]$$



• $\bar{r}(p)$: increase with the rejuvenation period p

- $\bar{a}(p)$: initial decrease with subsequent increase
- p^* : 1300 hours with $\left[\frac{\bar{a}(p)+\bar{r}(p)}{2}\right] = 0.000091$



• $\bar{r}(p)$: increase with the rejuvenation period p

• $\bar{a}(p)$: initial decrease with subsequent increase

•
$$p^*$$
: 1300 hours with $\left[\frac{\bar{a}(p)+\bar{r}(p)}{2}\right] = 0.000091$



- $\bar{r}(p)$: increase with the rejuvenation period p
- $\bar{a}(p)$: initial decrease with subsequent increase
- p^* : 1300 hours with $\left[\frac{\bar{a}(p)+\bar{r}(p)}{2}\right] = 0.000091$



- $\bar{r}(p)$: increase with the rejuvenation period p
- $\bar{a}(p)$: initial decrease with subsequent increase
- p^* : 1300 hours with $\left[\frac{\bar{a}(p)+\bar{r}(p)}{2}\right] = 0.000091$



- $\bar{r}(p)$: increase with the rejuvenation period p
- $\bar{a}(p)$: initial decrease with subsequent increase

•
$$p^*$$
: 1300 hours with $\left[\frac{\bar{a}(p)+\bar{r}(p)}{2}\right] = 0.000091$



- $\bar{r}(p)$: monotonic trend increasing
- $\bar{a}(p)$: monotonic trend decreasing
- *p*^{*}: cannot be assigned through the equation
- Selected *p* optimal as 2200 to anticipate the mean time of error detection (2300 hours)



- $\bar{r}(p)$: monotonic trend increasing
- $\bar{a}(p)$: monotonic trend decreasing
- *p*^{*}: cannot be assigned through the equation
- Selected *p* optimal as 2200 to anticipate the mean time of error detection (2300 hours)



- $\bar{r}(p)$: monotonic trend increasing
- $\bar{a}(p)$: monotonic trend decreasing
- p*: cannot be assigned through the equation
- Selected *p* optimal as 2200 to anticipate the mean time of error detection (2300 hours)



- $\bar{r}(p)$: monotonic trend increasing
- $\bar{a}(p)$: monotonic trend decreasing
- p*: cannot be assigned through the equation
- Selected *p* optimal as 2200 to anticipate the mean time of error detection (2300 hours)

Transient Evaluation of the Bounded Regeneration Model



- Unreliability (left): converges to 1 after 50000 hours
- Unavailability (center): peaks around the multiples of p^* (1300 hours)
- Cumulative unavailability (right): sharp increase around the multiples of p^* (smoothed as time advances)

Transient Metrics Comparison



- Unavailability: bounded regeneration shows better performance
- Unreliability: enabling restriction make the model converge faster
- Type of distributions affect significantly the transient behavior

Bounded Regeneration: Beyond Parameters Fitting



- Using expressiveness gain not only to fit more precisely data
- Structure of concurrency modification to represent hybrid rejuvenation policies

Combining Event-Based and Time-Triggered Rejuvenation



- Structure of concurrency extended to include diagnostic process
- Same system behavior and rejuvenation effects
- Maximum rejuvenation period maintained to p = 1300
- Diagnostic tests executed during rejuvenation period

Combining Event-Based and Time-Triggered Rejuvenation



- Structure of concurrency extended to include diagnostic process
- Same system behavior and rejuvenation effects
- Maximum rejuvenation period maintained to p = 1300
- Diagnostic tests executed during rejuvenation period

Combining Event-Based and Time-Triggered Rejuvenation



- Diagnostics: testing the system aging with a specific uncertainty level
- Rejuvenation can be anticipated if diagnosis indicates malfunctions
- Related to system **sensitivity** and **specificity**
- Sensitivity and specificity assumed equal to 0.9

Rejuvenation Policies Considered



• 1-Warning Rejuvenation: rejuvenation triggered at the first warning

• 2-Warning Rejuvenation: rejuvenation triggered after two warning in a row

Rejuvenation Policies Considered



- 1-Warning Rejuvenation: rejuvenation triggered at the first warning
- 2-Warning Rejuvenation: rejuvenation triggered after two warning in a row

Steady State Metrics Comparison

	Rejuvenation Model (Bounded Regeneration)		
Metric	Periodic	1-Warning	2-Warning
P(Undetected Failure) P(System Unavailable)	0.0000157 <u>0.0001670</u>	0.0000017 0.0003324	0.0000021 0.0003255

• Unreliability:

- 1-warning: reduced by an order of magnitude
- 2-warning: mitigated effects
- Unavailability:
 - Hybrid rejuvenation: less available
 - Minor difference between hybrid policies
- Trade-off Coherent

Transient Metrics Comparison



• Cumulative Unavailability (Left):

- Better performance for periodic rejuvenation
- Tangible differences even between 1-warning and 2-warning policies

• Unreliability (Right):

- At t = 5000 periodic rejuvenation model is unreliable wp1
- At t = 5000 hybrid rejuvenation models are unreliable with probability less than 0.5

Discussion and Future Directions

- Aim of the Work: Demonstrating that processes in the class of MRgP under bounded regeneration restriction provide an expressivity gain
- Ongoing Direction:
 - Automated efficient evaluation of optimal time parameters for the hybrid model with multiple waiting periods

• Future Direction:

• Applications in the context of software defined networks.